Heart failure and kidney Crucial & Common pathophysiological pathways
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ABSTRACT
Heart Failure is a complex syndrome characterized by the incapacity of heart to supply blood, oxygen, and nutrients to the body. Despite significant improvements in the treatment of virtually all cardiac disorders, heart failure (HF) is an exception, its prevalence is increasing, and only small extensions occur in survival. Several pathogenic mechanisms appear to be operative in HF. These include higher overhead hemodynamic dysfunction associated with ventricular ischemia, remodeling with neurohumoral excessive stimulation, myocyte cycling abnormal calcium, an inadequate or excessive proliferation of the extracellular matrix, accelerated apoptosis and genetic mutations. HF can present with reduced ejection fraction (EF), HFrEF, or with preserved EF (HFpEF). The interplay between diverse organ systems contributing to HF is mediated by the activation of counteracting neurohormonal pathways focused on re-establishing hemodynamic homeostasis. Cardiorenal Syndrome is a specific condition which is characterized by a rapid or chronic worsening of cardiac function leading to acute or chronic kidney injury (A/CKI) and the reciprocal organ dysfunction sequence can be possible. Even though its pathophysiology is complex and not still completely understood, oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction seem to play a pivotal role. New Pathways between heart and kidney and its early recognition can also be targeted for more effective and beneficial HF treatments.

Introduction
In the last 50 years, the advances in the prevention, diagnosis, and management of cardiovascular disease (CVD) have been nothing short of spectacular. Age-adjusted CVD related deaths have declined by about two-thirds in industrialized nations1 however, in developing countries still increasing. Nevertheless, Heart failure (HF) is a notable exception to these encouraging trends. Indeed, after normal delivery, it is the most common cause of hospitalization. Annual hospital discharges in patients with a primary diagnosis of HF have risen steadily since 1975, and now exceed one million discharges per year, although they may, at last be leveling off or actually decreasing in the United States. In Europe, hospitalizations for HF are clearly declining. HF is primarily a disease of the elderly that affects about 10% of men and 8% of women over the age of 60 years, and its prevalence rises with age and has risen overall. In
the United States, patients with a primary diagnosis of HF now make >3 million physician visits per year. The direct and indirect costs of HF in the United States are staggering: in 2010 they were estimated to be the US $39.2 billion. The estimated lifetime cost of HF per individual patient is $110,000/year (2008 US dollars), with more than three-fourths of this cost consumed by in-hospital care.

Survival after a diagnosis of HF has improved during the past 30 years; the age-adjusted death rate has declined, and the mean age at death from HF has risen. However, despite these modest improvements, the 5-year mortality is still approximately 50% worse than that of many cancers. Among Medicare patients, 30-day mortality is 10% to 12%, and the 30-day readmission rate after hospital discharge is 20% to 25%.

Cardiorenal syndrome (CRS) is the term used to describe clinical conditions in which cardiac and renal dysfunctions coexist. Much has been written on this subject, but underlying pathophysiological mechanisms continue to be unraveled and implications for management continue to be debated. Mortality is increased in patients with heart failure (HF) who have a reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Patients with chronic kidney disease have an increased risk of both atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and HF, and cardiovascular disease is responsible for up to 50 percent of deaths in patients with renal failure. Acute or chronic systemic disorders can cause both cardiac and renal dysfunction. The pathophysiological pathways have common neurohormonal links such as renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, natriuretic peptides, oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction. This review focuses on this issue as an important and common medical situation that the physicians should always keep in mind.

Heart failure (HF) is a condition in which the heart pump is unable to provide blood supply suitable to various organs and tissues, as well as to eliminate harmful residual products. Thus HF, with its mosaic of signs and symptoms is really defined as a syndrome. In this sense, two pathognomonic symptoms are shortness of breath and fatigue, with secondary congestion, renal retention of sodium and water with elevated venous pressure favoring the transudation of intravascular fluid in the interstitial space. Heart failure also occurs when cardiac output is normal, but can only be maintained in the setting of increased filling pressures.

Most often, older people who have HF have many risk factors that contribute to the development of this syndrome, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, kidney disease, obesity, COPD and sleep apnea.

The severity of the clinical manifestations of HF is variable; however, the progressive condition that can have recurrent exacerbations and requires constant therapeutic interventions is defined as chronic heart failure (CHF), while a gradual or sudden exacerbation requiring urgent treatment is acute HF (AHF).

We consider that a concept more contemporary and emerging for HF which goes beyond the concept of retention of sodium and water, and congestion is the real justification for this review. What is emerging is a concept of a systemic syndrome in which multiple deleterious cellular pathways are activated by mechanical and humoral mediators known and unknown.

Similarly, kidney failure is clearly linked with increased adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Almost 44% of deaths in patients with end-stage renal failure (ESRF) is due to cardiovascular diseases, and a 2006 meta-analysis indicated that patients with ESRF are more likely to die from cardiovascular causes than from renal failure itself. Death from cardiovascular causes is 10–20 times more common in patients with chronic renal failure than in matched segments of the general population. Half of the patients commencing hemodialysis will suffer a myocardial infarction within the following two years, and mortality in this patient population is high. Increased myocardial mass (i.e., left ventricular hypertrophy) — which increases myocardial oxygen demand — increases in mild-to-moderate as well as more advanced stages of renal failure.

In this sense, several cascade mechanisms result in remodeling of tissue in the heart and kidney, and probably in other systems of the body, leading inexorably to pro-fibrotic and mechanisms of apoptosis in the final phase of HF. In fact, it is in this context circulating biomarkers that can be valuable diagnostic and prognostic tools of disease as well as serve as biochemical factors of protection or deleterious enhancers of HF.

Heart failure with preserved (HFpEF) and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF)

Although each cell type and heart Chamber can potentially be involved at the beginning of the HF, there is often to top left ventricular (LV) dysfunction linked to a lifting of the pressures of filling of the LV (3-6). (Diastolic Disfunction). Figure 1.

Thus, a bad secondary adaptation to the loss of the muscle due to myocardial infarction, the reduction of an increase in afterload or contractility due to idiopathic cardiomyopathy as result of hypertension they generate at the time a low heart beat volume and increased tension of the LV wall with performance altered preload.

In the last decade it has been described that when the LV ejection fraction (EF) is reduced (≤40%), is a condition called "systolic dysfunction", what defines the HF as "HF with reduced ejection fraction" (HFrEF). When LVEF is ≥50%, but have concomitant impaired relaxation of the left
ventricle, a condition called “diastolic dysfunction”, along with the presence of pathognomonic signs and symptoms of HF, is classified as “HF with preserved ejection fraction” (HFpEF).

Individuals with heart failure and ejection fraction between 40% and 50% are considered as part of an intermediate group (a gray area), a situation which we have also known as HF with systolic dysfunction in transit. In addition, LV diastolic dysfunction may also be present in the own HFrEF. Interestingly, HFrEF and HFpEF may be differentiated according to the phenotype of the patient. HFpEF subjects, compared with HFrEF, are often older women, with a higher body mass index, increased prevalence of diabetes, atrial fibrillation and a history of high blood pressure. The estimated prevalence of HFpEF among subjects with HF is approximately 50%. So is today already recognized as pandemic, by its close relationship with factors of cardiovascular risk such as hypertension, diabetes, Dyslipidemia, obesity, COPD and sleep apnea.

The mortality rate may be higher in patients with HFrEF, however, the high prevalence of HFpEF leads the largest absolute number of deaths, then still numerically greater epidemiological impact HFpEF as the cause of death. Patients with HFpEF die mainly due to cardiovascular causes; nevertheless, they also have a higher incidence of non-cardiovascular mortality compared to HFrEF. The above probably related to the associated comorbidities. The structural characteristics of the HFrEF and HFpEF are markedly different. In HFrEF, the LV is dilated with hypertrophic walls. Histologically, fibrosis is present, cardiac myocytes are elongated and they have a smaller diameter than in HFpEF and also its inner myofibrillar density is reduced. In addition, the myocytes are less rigid in comparison with HFpEF.

HFpEF, LV cavity typically has a normal volume and the walls are hypertrophic. See Figure 2. Histological examination shows the deposition of collagen and cardiomyocytes larger, stiffer than in HFrEF. Despite the different cardiac structure and function; HFrEF and HFpEF hemodynamic patterns share similarities as well as differences. The clinical symptoms, renal dysfunction, neurohormonal activation, response to exercise and clinical findings may overlap. However, increased ventricular and vascular stiffness can play a greater role than a real volume overload, in HFpEF compared with HFrEF. Thus, these two forms of HF are two well differentiated entities, with different pathophysiology and therapeutic approaches accordingly.

Comorbidities HFpEF-associated lead to endothelial dysfunction. This is a condition characterized by the alteration of the “Endothelial Activation” and endothelium-dependent vasodilation, referring to a state where the endothelium loses its physiological properties and moves to a pro-inflammatory, pro-coagulator and vasoconstrictor state.

It is possible that HFpEF can evolve to HFrEF and, therefore, both conditions can be considered “the ends of a single disease”. The treatment and prognosis of cardiovascular disease, has significantly improved in recent decades. However, the increase in mortality and hospitalization rates remain elevated in patients with HF. American guidelines for the treatment of the HF are more clear and simple to HFrEF; However, missing consensus HFpEF management.
Novel Therapeutics for HF

More recently, the PARAMOUNT Study\(^\text{12}\) was a phase II clinical trial that tested the effectiveness of a novel compound created by the combination of an ARB,Valsartan, and an inhibitor of Neprilysin (Sacubitril, AHU377). Neprilysin [metal-neutral endopeptidase 24.11] is one of the most important enzymes responsible for the degradation of natriuretic peptides (NPs) among others. The name of the first drug in its class (Angiotensin Receptor Neprilysin inhibitor, ARNi) is LCZ696. The strategy behind this complex molecule is based on the effect of two different, both pathways important in the pathogenesis of HFrEF and HFpEF: Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system-(RAAS) and the Neprilysin system\(^\text{8}\).

This new drug is added to the basal therapy in \(n = 301\) patients HFpEF compared with subjects with initial treatment only valsartan. The results of this study showed a greater reduction in the levels of NT-proBNP in the group treated with LCZ696 compared with controls; however, this difference was not present after 36 weeks of observation. Moreover, this reduction in the levels of NT-proBNP appears to translate into better clinical results. LCZ696 has recently been added to standard therapy in chronic HFrEF patients symptomatic: PARADIGM-HF\(^\text{13,14}\). This study was stopped early (March 2014 instead of October 2014) due to the effect on mortality in subjects that LCZ696 compared with subjects in standard therapy with an ACE Inhibitor (Enalapril). LCZ696 compared with enalapril also reduced the risk of hospitalization for HF and significantly improved the symptoms of HF.

These impressive results have been obtained using a drug that targets at the same time for RAAS and the NP system supporting a favorable effect and increase of the combination of the two molecules. LCZ696 may change the therapeutic strategy and survival to long-term HFrEF patients\(^\text{15}\); however, topics selected for this landmark study is that they had an LVEF \(\leq 35\%\) and had to tolerate a dose of 10 mg twice a day of enalapril before being considered to take LCZ696. The translation into clinical practice may require careful considerations.

Another essential study is TOPCAT that tested the efficacy of spironolactone in patients with HFpEF\(^\text{16}\). In this case the researchers selected patients with an EF \(\geq 45\%\), in the Americas, as well as Russia and Georgia, and reported that spironolactone treatment did not reduce the primary composite outcome death from cardiovascular causes, aborted cardiac arrest or hospitalization for treatment of heart failure. It is important because of RAAS blockade is crucial for survival outcome in HFrEF but not in HFpEF\(^\text{17}\).

In conclusion, the treatment of the HF and, particularly, HFpEF remains a challenge that certainly warrants new therapeutic approaches to alternative and innovative, in the acute setting, as well as in CHF.

**Endothelial dysfunction: Crucial element in the pathogenesis of HFpEF**

The cardiac endothelium comprises the endothelial cells of the coronary microvasculature, endocardium, and the capillary intramyocardial. Cardiomycocytes are within the coronary microvascular network to maximum 3 microns in endothelial cells. This specific anatomical layout allows not only the adequate blood supplies, but also facilitates two-way communication between cells. The relevance of the cardiac endothelium in cardiac function follows from the work pioneers who demonstrated in vitro that the cardiac endocardial surface modulates cardiac muscle performance.

By coronary infusion of the substance P which leads to acute modulation of left ventricular function (LV), provided evidence later that the cardiac endothelium is important for cardiac contractile function in human beings potentially through the release of paracrine factors including ON, endothelin-1, natriuretic peptides, cytokines, and others.

Thus, emphasizes the importance of the cardiac endothelium function of cardiomycocytes, i.e., the cardiac endothelium and consequently Endothelial dysfunction or damage affects cardiomycocytes as well as cardiac fibroblasts and the intracardiac neurohormonal activation. The impact of the cardiac endothelium at these different heart cells along with complex interactions (bi-directional) emphasizes the relevance of the endothelial dysfunction in the pathogenesis of HFPEF.

**Endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndMT)**

A very relevant aspect is to remember deprivation nitric oxide helps transition endothelial-mesenchymal, a process whereby endothelial cells become a type of cell mesenchymal, which can give rise to fibroblasts. EndMT is induced by inflammatory factors (TGF-ss and TNF-α), oxidized LDL and by age. Also the EndMT contributes to cardiac fibrosis during chronic pressure overload.

Recently, specific endothelial expression of endothelin-1 and NOX2 (NADPH oxidase-2) have shown that they induce EndMT in experimental models of diabetes mellitus and cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis induced by Ang II, respectively. Interestingly, the activation Ang II-induced endothelial NOX2 is associated with isolated in the absence of systolic dysfunction, diastolic dysfunction.

In summary, these findings suggest that activation by endothelial dysfunction and inflammation not only, also induce the much less appreciated EndMT, as important mechanisms that contribute to cardiac fibrosis and the development of HFPEF. Cardiac fibroblasts, in turn may trigger more cardiac inflammation in a multimodal way.
This complex interaction between them cells endothelial, cells inflammatory and fibroblasts heart could explain why those strategies unidirectional of counter inflammation or fibrosis have failed until now to block the process fibrotic e indicates the need of new strategies with effects immunomodulatory more spacious. In addition, the emphasis is on the need to diagnose and treat HFPEF in an early stage of its pathogenesis, where the vicious cycle of inflammation and fibrosis, which leads to chronic inflammation, might even be repealed.

**Neurohormonal activation in HF**

Despite different pathophysiology, HFrEF and HFpEF share the activation of three main systems neurohormones: NP system, the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and RAAS. Neurohormonal activation has laid the foundations in the field of biomarkers of HF. The initial phase of HF is usually asymptomatic. Cardiomyocytes that distended in the heart failure secrete natriuretic peptides mainly from the Atria to reduce the hemodynamic impairment secondary to vasoconstriction and retention of sodium by the SNS and RAAS.

More specifically, the SNS increases inotropic function and peripheral vasoconstriction, while RAAS maintains and expands intravascular volume and renal perfusion by vasoconstriction in the kidney and tubular sodium reabsorption. Natriuretic peptides of human system consist of three structurally similar but genetically different hormones: atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and natriuretic peptide type C (NPC). ANP and BNP are mainly synthesized in the heart while the NPC is mainly produced by the endothelium and the kidney. ANP and BNP are acting through a receptor of membrane associated guanylate Cyclase A (GC-A). The NPC binds preferentially to the receptor of particulate guanylate Cyclase B (GC-B).

There is a third receptor for the natriopeptides receptor C (NPR-C), which can also have proliferative action on cardiomyocytes and anti-fibrotic actions in cardiac fibroblasts properties. Only GC-A & GC-B, after linking its specific peptides, produced the second Messenger Guanosine cyclic 3’ 5’-monophosphate (cGMP). cGMP, importantly, that it is involved in several cardiovascular actions which imply the Suppression of cell proliferation, inhibition of Platelet Activation and reduce inflammation, as well as the preservation of myocardial function and its structure. Plasma natriuretic peptides (NPs) elevation and, subsequently, cGMP levels can be seen as a compensatory response to the initial cardiovascular adaptation in the HF. The NPs have numerous and notable actions including natriuresis, inhibition of the synthesis of aldosterone and increased vasodilation.

The NPs are not the only contributors to the increased levels of cGMP. The nitric oxide (no) to act through guanylate Cyclase soluble, another receptor guanylate Cyclase, through the production of cGMP can modulate inflammation, endothelial dysfunction and myocardial contractility. However, ON bioavailability may be reduced in HF, contributing to a State of the relative deficiency of cGMP. The NPs, along with ON, try to compensate for hemodynamic dysfunction and characterized the initial stage of HF, through the activation of cGMP. However, the NHS, which releases catecholamines, induced opposite effects, and can also turn directly to RAAS. Peripheral vasoconstriction, including vasoconstriction of the renal arteries, induced by the SNS can also lead to hypoperfusion followed by the release of Renin from the glomerular kidney and consequently increases the activation of the RAAS.

Directly or indirectly, there is greater renal involvement, with an increase of angiotensin II (Ang II) and finally the levels of aldosterone. It is important that the kidney plays a crucial role during the HF, ultimately leading to the retention of sodium and water through the activation of the Mineralocorticoid receptors in the distal nephron. In addition, Ang II may stimulate the release of norepinephrine promoting a positive feedback loop between the SNS and RAAS. During the progression of the disease, systemic vasoconstriction and increased intravascular volume secondary to renal retention of salt and water are harmful not only for the function cardiorenal but also changes in its structure. RAAS and SNS actions overwhelm the beneficial effects of NPs-ON/cGMP leading to symptomatic HF, increased re-hospitalization and increased risk of death.

It is important to underline that these complex interactions are present in both heart failure, acute and chronic, although with different levels of severity. On the other hand, after a myocardial infarction, aldosterone is also in its maximum plasma concentration. In fact, EPHESUS is a clinical study pivot in which them researchers tested the efficacy of a blocker of the receptor Mineralocorticoid, eplerenone, given after infarction acute of myocardial chronic, although with different levels of severity. On the other hand, after a myocardial infarction, aldosterone is also in its maximum plasma concentration. In fact, EPHESUS is a clinical study pivot in which them researchers tested the efficacy of a blocker of the receptor Mineralocorticoid, eplerenone, given after infarction acute of myocardial infarction complicated by the dysfunction ventricular left and HF. This trial showed that the addition of this drug to the therapy medical optimal reduces the morbidity and mortality. In addition, this medication has shown a benefit of survival early. Significant reduction of the mortality was fined 30 days after the randomization in patients with HFrEF.

Therefore, the role of aldosterone in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular diseases clearly goes beyond heart failure and hypertension.

**Cardiorenal syndrome**

Originally specified by Dr. Eugene Braunwald, the key symptoms of CHF (dyspnea and fatigue) are the consequence of the retention of salt and water by the
kidney. Therefore, the interaction between the heart and the kidney is being a component crucial of the CHF. Finally, HF becomes both in acute conditions or chronic state in a real cardiorenal syndrome where the glomerular filtration rate is inadequate and retention of sodium and water prevail with refractoriness to the endogenous natriuretic peptides, and diuretics. When the heart begins to fail, the juxtaglomerular cells secrete Renin.

Renin cleaves to angiotensinogen, (Figure 3) produced and released into the circulation by the liver into angiotensin I. ACE, widely present along the vasculature and into the renal tubules, cleaves angiotensin 1 giving Ang II. Ang II, is also a potent vasoconstrictor, activates the synthesis of aldosterone and its release of the zona glomerulosa of the adrenal cortex, with the secondary increase in circulation of the same32. Aldosterone binds to the receptor of mineralocorticoids in the epithelial cells of the duct collector of the nephron, inducing the excretion of potassium and sodium retention (RM).

This action is counter-regulated by the natriuretic effect of cardiac ANP and BNP that is at least at the beginning, effective. However, with the evolution of the HF, the actions of protection of NPs/cGMP are lost, leading to the progression of the disease. The volume overload induces more loss of cardiomyocytes by stress and cell apoptosis. Also, start with the accumulation of extracellular matrix pro-fibrotic and inflammatory processes. The remodeling, hypertrophy, fibrosis and death with regeneration reduced myocardial37 eventually irreversible heart damage and end-stage of HF. In parallel, the kidney undergoes adaptation and remodeling initially compensatory and finally deleterious with loss of renal function.

Renal blood flow is reduced, and the reduced venous return can result in renal congestion38-40 with a secondary increase in renal interstitial pressure41. HFpEF and HFrEF are strongly associated with renal dysfunction39, 42. As in the heart, renal perfusion impaired long-term induces local routes in fibrosis and consequent reduction in the glomerular function and tubular with eventual damage to the parenchyma (chronic kidney disease, CKD).

This is the picture of the cardiorenal syndrome with high risk of death and re hospitalization. This syndrome has been classified by the Working Group of the initiative for the quality of dialysis, which proposes a 5 subtypes classification scheme academic way. This classification of 5 items is based on: a) if the principal organ of the dysfunction is the heart, kidney, or a third independent process that affects both the bodies and b) the acute or chronic nature of the disease. Table 1 summarizes the current 5 types of CRS.

This strong and complex intercommunication between the heart and the kidney is bidirectional. Therefore, the kidney may even be the first body to start the HF. This concept is supported by earlier clinical studies that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRS type 1 (acute CRS)</th>
<th>The rapid deterioration of heart function that leads to AKI</th>
<th>Acute Decompensated HF, AMI with cardiogenic shock, acute valvular insufficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRS type 2 (chronic CRS)</td>
<td>Chronic alterations in cardiac function lead-ing to chronic progressive renal disease</td>
<td>Chronic HF (activation of the RAAS and SNS long-term, chronic hypoperfusion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS type 3 (acute renocardiac syndrome)</td>
<td>Primary worsening of renal function leading to acute cardiac dysfunction. Overload of fluids, electrolyte disturbances, the accumu-lation of myocardial depressants factors, neurohormonal activation, and systemic in-flammation have been postulated to lead to cardiac dysfunction</td>
<td>Acute kidney injury (uremia causing deterioration of contractility, hyperkalaemia cause arrhythmias, the volume over-load causing pulmonary ede-ma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS type 4 (chronic renocardiac syndrome)</td>
<td>Chronic kidney disease primary contributing to decreased cardiac function and an in-creased risk of cardiovascular events</td>
<td>The chronic kidney disease that leads to the HVI, coronary artery disease, and diastolic dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS type 5 (secondary CRS)</td>
<td>Whether the presence of concomitant cardiac and renal dysfunction due to acute or chronic systemic disorders</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, vasculitis, amyloidosis, sepsis, cardiogenic shock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
have shown even mild renal impairment as a factor that contributes to an increased cardiovascular risk. In a recent article showed that slight ERC, produced by uninephrectomy (UNX), was in early cardiac fibrosis with mild impairment diastolic and systolic function preserved in rats.

These results were independent of blood pressure, sodium, water retention or the activity of aldosterone. In addition, this connection of the kidney - heart in early CKD could involve at least two pathways of genes in the heart: pathways of TGF-β and apoptosis. These important findings support the hypothesis that impaired renal function is associated with the release of renal cellular or humoral factors that contribute to changes in the structure and function of the myocardium.

Arginine vasopressin (AVP), also known as antidiuretic hormone (ADH), is synthesized by the hypothalamus and stored in the neurohypophysis. This hormone induces the reabsorption of water by the nephron, ultimately increases the intravascular volume. AVP is also part of the neurohormonal activation during HF.

Adrenomedullin (ADM) is a peptide vasodilator first found in cells of pheochromocytoma, but has subsequently been found in various organs such as the heart, kidney, lung, smooth muscle cells, and endothelium. LOA ADM levels are elevated during HF to reduce preload and afterload.

Cortisol is produced in the zona fasciculata of the adrenal cortex. This hormone glucocorticoid, locally not completely inactivated the enzyme 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11 βHSD2), can induce sodium and water retention during HF, using the link to the RM in the kidney. Cortisol levels are higher than in subjects without HF.

The activation of the endothelium, the extracellular matrix, cells of the immune system and the reaction of oxidative stress also contribute to the deadly progression of a phase offset to a lopsided and symptomatic stage HF. Specifically, Endothelins, released by endothelial cells, induces vasoconstriction and release of aldosterone, collagen degradation is also reduced, and other molecules are produced by lymphocytes, macrophages, endothelial cells, and many other tissues under conditions of stress. The injured myocardium can also secrete Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines. All of these factors culminate in progression to CHF.

Potential biomarkers in HF and cardiorenal syndrome

More recently, in the systemic circulation of the HF holder, it is also possible to detect matrix proteinases, the enzymes involved in the metabolism of collagen. Its presence indicates extracellular matrix remodeling and active processes fibrotic. Indeed, it has shown changes in biomarkers of synthesis and degradation of collagen in patients with congestive heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction after acute myocardial infarction. This remodeling of extracellular matrix was attenuated by the antagonist of aldosterone. In addition, the ST2 soluble receptor of interleukin released in response to the tension of myocardial and galectin-3, inducing mediator of macrophages derived from the synthesis of collagen, in human cardiac fibroblasts, were also measured to evaluate the severity/prognosis of HF. Soluble ST2 plays a role in the cardio-protective stress response, while galectin-3 is involved in the fibrotic heart process.

Therefore, both ST2 soluble and galectin-3 together with the peptidases of collagen, are currently widely used, demarcating the important concept of the volume control in interaction with extracellular collagen during HF.

The ischemic injury could characterize the progression of HF, causing release of peptides specific cardiac, such as troponins in the bloodstream. Inflammation and Endothelial dysfunction, participate directly in the activation of fibroblasts responsible for excess remodeling and fibrosis of the extracellular matrix.

In the HF has also been reported that there may be a secondary hyperparathyroidism. Specifically, parathyroid hormone (PTH) is released from the parathyroid glands when there is hypocalcemia. Therefore, calcium homeostasis may be impaired in HF, as well as ERC. In addition, PTH has shown direct pleiotropic effects in cardiomyocytes. Another connection between HF and altered bone metabolism is the version of growth factor fibroblastic 23 (FGF-23) from the Osteocyte.

The growth of fibroblasts, FGF 23, factor has direct actions on myocardial hypertrophy. In short, all of these factors are considered valid biomarkers of HF. However, to date, BNP and N-terminal of the Pro-hormone (NT-proBNP) are the only ones recommended by the American Heart Association for the diagnosis of HF. Besides BNP, biomarkers of damage (troponin) myocardial and cardiac fibrosis (ST2 soluble and galectin-3) may be considered for the risk stratification of additive in AHF.

An ideal biomarker should then allow the diagnosis, to help in the monitoring of the treatment and prognosis of a specific disease, in addition to being highly sensitive, specific, reliable and standardized, regardless of age, sex, and anthropometric parameters of the subject. HF, despite continuous research and identification of new biomarkers, questions and challenges remain. Biomarkers are part of the major pathways of the disease and provide a powerful rational for us to monitor specific pathological conditions, such as HF.

Then one could speculate that the use of biomarkers to
track the disease might not totally be an optimal strategy\textsuperscript{65}, in the HF, the use of Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), and recently, the new class of drugs (angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors, ARNIs) are examples of therapeutic strategies for biomarkers. The effectiveness of these drugs, especially in HFrEF is unquestionable.

**Endothelial dysfunction**

Nevertheless, endothelial dysfunction and increased oxidative stress seem to be important pathophysiologic processes associated with the pro-inflammatory state of HFrEF and HFrEF\textsuperscript{66}. Increase of oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction has been described in both HFrEF and HFrEF, but is also implicated in other diseases, including diabetes, hypertension, both highly prevalent in HFrEF and HFrEF\textsuperscript{67}. However, HFrEF patients demonstrated a higher prevalence of endothelial dysfunction even after matching for age, gender, diabetes and hypertension\textsuperscript{66}. This suggests that increased oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction are not merely a result of a myriad of comorbidities, but linked specifically to the pathophysiology of HF.

In a study comparing HFrEF patients with age- and sex-matched hypertensives without symptoms as well as age- and sex-matched healthy controls, global vascular function (Ea and SVRI) was not significantly different between groups, but endothelial function was impaired in both HFrEF and hypertensive subjects compared to the controls\textsuperscript{68}. Interestingly, HFrEF patients had an impaired endothelial function accompanied by a reduction in exercise capacity, but hypertensive controls displayed only an impaired endothelial function, suggesting that endothelial dysfunction does not directly lead to reduced exercise capacity. However, endothelial dysfunction did predict cardiovascular events, independently of age, diabetes, hospitalization, NYHA class, E/e\textsuperscript{7}, ejection fraction and BNP\textsuperscript{68}. Since endothelial dysfunction is associated with prognosis in HFrEF, this could be an important pathophysiological mechanism and the pathways involved could be potential therapeutic targets.

Nitric oxide (NO) is considered a key player in endothelial dysfunction. NO promotes LV relaxation through cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP)–protein kinase G (PKG) dependent and independent mechanisms. Myocardial samples from HFrEF patients displayed reduced levels of PKG activity and lower cGMP concentration, which was related to increased cardiomyocyte stiffness, demonstrated by measurement of passive tension\textsuperscript{66}. The downregulation of cGMP–PKG was likely related to low myocardial NO bioavailability, demonstrated by high nitrosative/oxidative stress assessed by immunohistochemical determination of nitrotyrosine. PKG-dependent phosphorylation of the sarcomeric protein titin seems to play an important role in this process\textsuperscript{66}.

High diastolic stiffness was correlated to relative hypophosphorylation of the stiff N2B titin isoform, and in HFrEF the N2B2A: N2B expression ratio was decreased compared to HFrEF patients\textsuperscript{66,69,70}. The lack of PKG in the cardiomyocyte enhances hypertrophy, as seen in experimental and clinical studies\textsuperscript{71}. In patients with diabetic cardiomyopathy and concentric LV remodeling, sildenafil treatment increased myocardial PKG activity, reduced hypertrophy, and improved cardiac kinetics.

Endothelial dysfunction is not just confined to the systemic or the coronary arteries, but also includes the pulmonary arteries. Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a common feature in HFrEF. In a community-based study, PH was present in 83% of HFrEF patients\textsuperscript{66}. This population demonstrated an increased pulmonary capillary wedge pressure. However the severity of PH suggests an additional pre-capillary component contributes as well. It is possible that endothelial dysfunction plays a role in that aspect. In HFrEF patients with pulmonary hypertension, sildenafil treatment resulted in improvement of diastolic stiffness and reduction of pulmonary pressure\textsuperscript{72}.

The above data suggest an important role for inflammation and inflammation-induced endothelial dysfunction in the pathophysiology of HFrEF. Targeting these processes and the pathways involved, such as cGMP–PKG, could be potentially beneficial to HFrEF patients.

**Future**

Despite advances in science and medicine of the cardiovascular diseases, HF is still a huge burden on a public health problem. The need for new effective drugs should involve returning to the study of the pathophysiology of HFrEF and HFrEF and redefine new and different paths and biomarkers to aim with new therapies. Recognizing the activation of several hormonal systems in HF and the imbalance between NPs and RAAS, the use of natriuretic peptide receptor agonists, could be justified to increase the beneficial effects of NPs/cGMP and counteract the negative actions of Ang II and the activation of the Mineralocorticoid receptor.

However, any disease in which the majority of risk factors are modifiable, HF prevention is the first step. In addition, some reports emphasize the importance of the monitoring of blood pressure, central obesity and peptide N-terminal procollagen-III to identify the first structural and functional changes in the heart, before HF stage B-C or D\textsuperscript{73}. The same group, published a proportion reduced between type III procollagen N-terminal Pro-peptide and collagen type I telopeptide as a predictive of ventricular remodeling as well as cardiovascular deaths and hospitalizations for HF, as well as BNP and cardiac LVEF\textsuperscript{74}. These studies underscore the importance of biomarkers in the remodeled adverse cardiac prevention and progression.
of HF. In conclusion, strict monitoring of high-risk patients identified, with the use of biomarkers and modulation of their objectives, could help in the early diagnosis and therefore in a more personalized strategy to reduce the burden of this syndrome.
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